

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | | | • | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/016,532 | 12/10/2001 | George J. Picha | 29462 | 7870 |
| 116 75 | 590 12/18/2003 | EXAMINER | | |
| PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET | | | THANH, LOAN H | |
| SUITE 1200 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108 | | | 3763 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/18/200 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| office Action Summer | 10/016,532 | PICHA ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | LoAn H. Thanh | 3763 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u> | lovember 2003. | • | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | · | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7,10-15,18 and 19 is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,8,16-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-6 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | /are withdrawn from consideration | n. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| • | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 | 5) Notice of Informal F | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, species 1 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 7,10-15,18-20 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 4.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, the dependent non-elected claims may be considered at the time of allowance. See paper no. 3.

With respect to the rejoinder of process claims, process claims which do not depend from or otherwise include the limitations of the patentable product will be withdrawn from consideration, via an election by original presentation (see MPEP § 821.03).

An action on the merits will now follow.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too long. Applicant is requested to amend it to 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: brief description to fig. 12 is missing.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,532

Art Unit: 3763

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1,3,8,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said casing " in line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is unclear whether applicant intended "said constraining member" instead.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taylor (U.S. Patent No. 5,279,564)

Taylor discloses a device comprising a tubular portion, an internal bolster having a radial wing 12,22 and permitting a first and second orientation. And a constraining member 14 which encases the bolster. (See figures 1-6.) Lacking any

Application/Control Number: 10/016,532

Art Unit: 3763

structurally distinguishing features, the Examiner is construing the tubular element of .

14 to be a capsule.

Claims 1,3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Semrad (U.S. Patent No. 4,986,810)

Semrad discloses a device comprising a tubular portion, an internal bolster having a radial wing 14,15 and permitting a first and second orientation and further comprising a constraining member which encases the bolster. (See figures 1-11). Lacking any structurally distinguishing features, the Examiner is construing the tubular element of 14 to be a capsule.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Picha et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,941,855).

Picha et al. disclose the device as claimed. See figures 1-12. The constraining member is considered to be the suture wrap 37.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor (U.S. Patent No. 5,279,564) in view of Parks (U.S. Patent NO. 4,685,901).

Application/Control Number: 10/016,532

Art Unit: 3763

See Taylor or above. Taylor discloses the claims as substantially claimed. Taylor discloses a stop ring 18. However, Taylor does not disclose the specifics of a locking ring as claimed (claim 16). Parks discloses a device in the analogous art with a bolster (balloon) and a locking ring as specifically claimed by applicant in claim 16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute/modify the locking ring of Taylor with the locking ring of Parks as a mere substitute of rings which function in the same manner wherein the locking ring would provide the same function of securing the device to the patient's body.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Picha et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,941,855) in view of Parks (U.S. Patent NO. 4,685,901).

See Picha et al. above. Picha et al. disclose the claims as substantially claimed. However, Picha does not disclose a locking ring as claimed (claim 17). Parks discloses a device in the analogous art with a bolster (balloon) and a locking ring as specifically claimed by applicant in claim 17. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Picha et al. with a locking ring as taught by Parks which permit air to contact the entry to the stoma to reduce infection and skin irritation and further provide a better securement to the patient's body.

Art Unit: 3763

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-6,9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is 703-305-0038. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (alternate Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

CoAn'H. Thann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763